

To Amend the Comprehensive Plan as it Relates to  
Land Use in the Area Bounded by Henderson Street,  
Hillside Drive, High Street, and Winslow Road  
(Winslow Woods Neighborhood)

WHEREAS, the Bloomington Plan Commission has considered and recommended that the Bloomington Master Plan be changed;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, That:

Section I. The comprehensive plan for the City of Bloomington and its extraterritorial jurisdiction is hereby amended to recommend the land use pattern shown on Exhibit A, which is attached hereto and made a part of this ordinance.

Section II. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage by the Common Council and approval by the Mayor.

PASSED and ADOPTED by the Common Council of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 4 day of December, 1985.

Patricia G. Gross  
PATRICIA GROSS, President  
Bloomington Common Council

ATTEST:

Susan Fernandes (Deputy Clerk)  
PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

PRESENTED by me to the Mayor of the City of Bloomington, Monroe County, Indiana, upon this 5 day of December 1985.

Susan Fernandes (Deputy Clerk)  
PATRICIA WILLIAMS, City Clerk

SIGNED and APPROVED by me upon this 5 day of December, 1985.

Tomilea Allison  
TOMILEA ALLISON, Mayor

SYNOPSIS

The comprehensive plan advises a pattern of land use among its elements. This amendment to the plan addresses land use for the vacant land in the area bounded Henderson Street, Hillside Drive, High Street, and Winslow Road (Winslow Woods Neighborhood). Growth trends, current conditions and land use and street characteristics were taken into account in updating the plans recommending land use for this area as a prelude to pending decisions on specific re-zoning requests.

12/6/85 Signed copies:

1. Petitioner
2. Planning
3. Legal
4. Controller

## \*\*\*\*ORDINANCE CERTIFICATION\*\*\*\*

In accordance with IC 18-7-4-508, I hereby certify that the attached Ordinance Number 85-58 is a true and complete copy of Plan Commission Case Number MP-85-85 which was given a recommendation of approval by a vote of 9 Ayes, 0 Nays, and 0 Abstentions by the Bloomington City Plan Commission at a public hearing held on October 7, 1985.

Date: November 14, 1985

*Timothy A. Mueller*  
Planning Director  
 Tim Mueller, Secretary  
 Plan Commission

Received by the Common Council Office this 14th day of November, 1985.

Patricia Williams, City Clerk

Appropriation Ordinance # \_\_\_\_\_ Fiscal Impact Statement # \_\_\_\_\_ Resolution# \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ordinance

Type of Legislation:

Appropriation _____	End of Program _____	Penal Ordinance _____
Budget Transfer _____	New Program _____	Grant Approval _____
Salary Change _____	Bonding _____	Administrative Change _____
Zoning Change _____	Investments _____	Short-Term Borrowing _____
New Fees _____	Annexation _____	Other _____

Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan

If the legislation directly affects City funds, the following must be completed by the City Controller:

Cause of Request:

Planned Expenditure \_\_\_\_\_ Emergency \_\_\_\_\_  
 Unforeseen Need \_\_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_\_

Funds Affected by Request:

Fund(s) Affected _____		
Fund Balance as of January 1 _____	\$ _____	\$ _____
Revenue to Date _____		
Revenue Expected for Rest of year _____		
Appropriations to Date _____		
Unappropriated Balance _____		
Effect of Proposed Legislation(+/-) _____		
Projected Balance _____	\$ _____	\$ _____

Signature of Controller \_\_\_\_\_

Will the legislation have a major impact on existing City appropriations, fiscal liability or revenues? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X \_\_\_\_\_

If the legislation will not have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly the reason for your conclusion. The property is not located in the incorporated limits and will not effect revenue.

If the legislation will have a major fiscal impact, explain briefly what the effect on City costs and revenues will be and include factors which could lead to significant additional expenditures in the future. Be as specific as possible. (Continue on second sheet if necessary)

Agency submitting legislation \_\_\_\_\_ Planning Department

By Timothy Mueller Date November 14, 1985

AS RECOMMENDED  
BY PLAN COMMISSION  
MEETING OCTOBER 7, 1985

### LEGEND

STREET SCHEME

== EXIST. ARTERIAL

== COLLECTOR

== SCHEMATIC LOCATION

--- INTERNAL LINK

HOUSING TYPES

[Symbol] - APARTMENT

[Symbol] - TOWNHOUSE

[Symbol] - SINGLE STORY APARTMENT

## - ATTACHED (e.g. QUAD-STYLED)

SFR<sub>1</sub> - Emphasis is on single family detached, at impacts comparable to surroundings

SFR<sub>2</sub> - Plan calls for single family, but retains option of approval of low density attached or zero lot-line if proposal satisfies goals with respect to park security, compatibility and market segment (lots fronting on E. Graham adjacent to RH & RL are eligible for conditional use duplex)

SFR<sub>3</sub> - Single family detached, not over 40 U./Acre

ENVIRONMENTAL

[Symbol] - VEGETATIVE CORRIDOR

[Symbol] - RETENTION POND



